

Interaction

shared variables

can be read and written by any process (most interaction)

difficult to implement

difficult to reason about

interactive variables

can be read by any process, written by only one process (some interaction)

easier to implement

easier to reason about

boundary variables

can be read and written by only one process (least interaction)

but initial value can be seen by all processes

easiest to implement

easiest to reason about

Interactive Variables

boundary variable **var** $a: T \cdot S = \exists a, a': T \cdot S$

interactive variable **ivar** $x: T \cdot S = \exists x: time \rightarrow T \cdot S$

The value of variable x at time t is $x\ t$

But sometimes we write x for $x\ t$, x' for $x\ t'$, x'' for $x\ t''$, ...

$a := a + x$

is really

$a := a + x\ t$

Most laws still work but not the Substitution Law

Interactive Variables

suppose boundary a, b ; interactive x, y ; time t

$$ok = a'=a \wedge b'=b \wedge t'=t$$

$$x'=x \wedge y'=y \quad \text{means} \quad x \ t' = x \ t \wedge y \ t' = y \ t$$

Interactive Variables

suppose boundary a, b ; interactive x, y ; time t

$$ok = a'=a \wedge b'=b \wedge t'=t$$

$$a:=e = a'=e \wedge b'=b \wedge t'=t$$

$$x:=e = a'=a \wedge b'=b \wedge x'=e \wedge (\forall t'' \cdot t \leq t'' \leq t' \Rightarrow y''=y) \\ \wedge t' = t + (\text{the time required to evaluate and store } e)$$

$$P.Q = \exists a'', b'', t'' \cdot (\text{substitute } a'', b'', t'' \text{ for } a', b', t' \text{ in } P) \\ \wedge (\text{substitute } a'', b'', t'' \text{ for } a, b, t \text{ in } Q)$$

$$P||Q = \exists t_P, t_Q \cdot (\text{substitute } t_P \text{ for } t' \text{ in } P) \\ \wedge (\text{substitute } t_Q \text{ for } t' \text{ in } Q) \\ \wedge t' = \max t_P t_Q$$

$$\wedge (\forall t'' \cdot t_P \leq t'' \leq t' \Rightarrow xt''=x(t_P)) \quad \text{interactive variables of } P$$

$$\wedge (\forall t'' \cdot t_Q \leq t'' \leq t' \Rightarrow yt''=y(t_Q)) \quad \text{interactive variables of } Q$$

Interactive Variables

example boundary a, b ; interactive x, y ; extended integer time t

$$(x:=2. \ x:=x+y. \ x:=x+y) \parallel (y:=3. \ y:=x+y) \quad x \text{ left, } y \text{ right, } a \text{ left, } b \text{ right}$$

$$= (a'=a \wedge xt'=2 \wedge t'=t+1. \ a'=a \wedge xt'=xt+yt \wedge t'=t+1. \ a'=a \wedge xt'=xt+yt \wedge t'=t+1)$$

$$\parallel (b'=b \wedge yt'=3 \wedge t'=t+1. \ b'=b \wedge yt'=xt+yt \wedge t'=t+1)$$

$$= (a'=a \wedge x(t+1)=2 \wedge x(t+2)=x(t+1)+y(t+1) \wedge x(t+3)=x(t+2)+y(t+2) \wedge t'=t+3)$$

$$\parallel (b'=b \wedge y(t+1)=3 \wedge y(t+2)=x(t+1)+y(t+1) \wedge t'=t+2)$$

$$= x(t+1)=2 \wedge x(t+2)=x(t+1)+y(t+1) \wedge x(t+3)=x(t+2)+y(t+2)$$

$$\wedge y(t+1)=3 \wedge y(t+2)=x(t+1)+y(t+1) \wedge y(t+3)=y(t+2)$$

$$\wedge a'=a \wedge b'=b \wedge t'=t+3$$

$$= x(t+1)=2 \wedge x(t+2)=5 \wedge x(t+3)=10 \wedge y(t+1)=3 \wedge y(t+2)=y(t+3)=5 \wedge a'=a \wedge b'=b \wedge t'=t+3$$

Thermostat

thermometer || *control* || *thermostat* || *burner*

inputs to the thermostat:

- real *temperature* , which comes from the thermometer and indicates the actual temperature.
- real *desired* , which comes from the control and indicates the desired temperature.
- boolean *flame* , which comes from a flame sensor in the burner and indicates whether there is a flame.

outputs of the thermostat:

- boolean *gas* ; assigning it $\top$ turns the gas on and $\perp$ turns the gas off.
- boolean *spark* ; assigning it $\top$ causes sparks for the purpose of igniting the gas.

Heat is wanted when the actual temperature falls ε below the desired temperature, and not wanted when the actual temperature rises ε above the desired temperature, where ε is small enough to be unnoticeable, but large enough to prevent rapid oscillation. To obtain heat, the spark should be applied to the gas for at least 1 second to give it a chance to ignite and to allow the flame to become stable. But a safety regulation states that the gas must not remain on and unlit for more than 3 seconds. Another regulation says that when the gas is shut off, it must not be turned on again for at least 20 seconds to allow any accumulated gas to clear. And finally, the gas burner must respond to its inputs within 1 second.

thermostat = $(gas := \perp \parallel spark := \perp). GasOff$

GasOff = **if** *temperature* < *desired* - ε
 then $((gas := \top \parallel spark := \top \parallel t+1 \leq t' \leq t+3). spark := \perp. GasOn)$
 else $((\textbf{frame } gas, spark \cdot ok) \parallel t < t' \leq t+1). GasOff)$

GasOn = **if** *temperature* < *desired* + $\varepsilon \wedge$ *flame*
 then $((\textbf{frame } gas, spark \cdot ok) \parallel t < t' \leq t+1). GasOn)$
 else $((gas := \perp \parallel (\textbf{frame } spark \cdot ok) \parallel t+20 \leq t' \leq t+21). GasOff)$

Communication Channels

Channel c is described by

message script	$\mathcal{M}c$	string constant
time script	$\mathcal{T}c$	string constant
read cursor	rc	extended natural variable
write cursor	wc	extended natural variable

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 \mathcal{M} & = & 6 \ ; \ 4 \ ; \ 7 \ ; \ 1 \ ; \ 0 \ ; \ 3 \ ; \ 8 \ ; \ 9 \ ; \ 2 \ ; \ 5 \ ; \ \dots \\
 \mathcal{T} & = & 3 \ ; \ 5 \ ; \ 5 \ ; \ 20 \ ; \ 25 \ ; \ 28 \ ; \ 31 \ ; \ 31 \ ; \ 45 \ ; \ 48 \ ; \ \dots \\
 & & \quad \uparrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow \\
 & & \quad r \qquad \qquad w
 \end{array}$$

Input and Output

$$c! e = M_w = e \wedge T_w = t \wedge (w := w+1)$$

$$c! = T_w = t \wedge (w := w+1)$$

$$c? = r := r+1$$

$$c = M_{r-1}$$

$$\sqrt{c} = T_r \leq t$$

$$M = 6 ; 4 ; 7 ; 1 ; 0 ; 3 ; 8 ; 9 ; 2 ; 5 ; \dots$$

$$T = 3 ; 5 ; 5 ; 20 ; 25 ; 28 ; 31 ; 31 ; 45 ; 48 ; \dots$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ r & & w \end{array}$$

Input and Output

$c! e = M_w = e \wedge T_w = t \wedge (w := w+1)$

$c! = T_w = t \wedge (w := w+1)$

$c? = r := r+1$

$c = M_{r-1}$

$\sqrt{c} = T_r \leq t$

if $\sqrt{key}$

then ($key?$.

if $key = "y"$

then $screen!$ "If you wish."

else $screen!$ "Not if you don't want.")

else $screen!$ "Well?"

Input and Output

Repeatedly input numbers from channel c , and output their doubles on channel d .

$$S = \forall n: nat. Md_{wd+n} = 2 \times Mc_{rc+n}$$

$$S \Leftarrow c?. d! 2 \times c. S$$

proof

$$c?. d! 2 \times c. S$$

$$= rc := rc + 1. Md_{wd} = 2 \times Mc_{rc-1} \wedge (wd := wd + 1). S$$

$$= Md_{wd} = 2 \times Mc_{rc} \wedge \forall n: nat. Md_{wd+1+n} = 2 \times Mc_{rc+1+n}$$

$$= \forall n: nat. Md_{wd+n} = 2 \times Mc_{rc+n}$$

$$= S$$

Communication Timing

real time need to know implementation

transit time input and output take time 0

communication transit takes time 1

input $c?$ becomes $t := \max t (Tc_{rc} + 1). c?$

check $\sqrt{c}$ becomes $Tc_{rc} + 1 \leq t$

Communication Timing

$$\begin{aligned}
 W &= t := \max t (\mathcal{T}_r + 1). c? \\
 &= \text{wait (if necessary) for input and then read it}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$W \Leftarrow \text{if } \sqrt{c} \text{ then } c? \text{ else } (t := t+1. W)$$

proof

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{if } \sqrt{c} \text{ then } c? \text{ else } (t := t+1. W) \\
 = &\text{if } \mathcal{T}_r + 1 \leq t \text{ then } c? \text{ else } (t := t+1. t := \max t (\mathcal{T}_r + 1). c?) \\
 = &\text{if } \mathcal{T}_r + 1 \leq t \text{ then } (t := t. c?) \text{ else } (t := \max (t+1) (\mathcal{T}_r + 1). c?) \\
 = &\text{if } \mathcal{T}_r + 1 \leq t \text{ then } (t := \max t (\mathcal{T}_r + 1). c?) \text{ else } (t := \max t (\mathcal{T}_r + 1). c?) \\
 = &W
 \end{aligned}$$

Recursive Communication

$$dbl = c?. d! 2 \times c. t := t+1. dbl$$

weakest solution

$$\forall n: nat. Md_{wd+n} = 2 \times Mc_{rc+n} \wedge Td_{wd+n} = t+n$$

strongest implementable solution

$$(\forall n: nat. Md_{wd+n} = 2 \times Mc_{rc+n} \wedge Td_{wd+n} = t+n)$$

$$\wedge rc' = wd' = t' = \infty \wedge wc' = wc \wedge rd' = rd$$

strongest solution

$\perp$

$$\forall n: nat. Md_{wd+n} = 2 \times Mc_{rc+n} \wedge Td_{wd+n} = t+n \iff dbl$$

$$dbl \iff c?. d! 2 \times c. t := t+1. dbl$$

Recursive Construction


$$dbl_0 = \top$$

$$\begin{aligned} dbl_1 &= c?. d! 2 \times c. t := t+1. dbl_0 \\ &= rc := rc+1. Md_{wd} = 2 \times Mc_{rc-1} \wedge Td_{wd} = t \wedge (wd := wd+1). t := t+1. \top \\ &= Md_{wd} = 2 \times Mc_{rc} \wedge Td_{wd} = t \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} dbl_2 &= c?. d! 2 \times c. t := t+1. dbl_1 \\ &= rc := rc+1. Md_{wd} = 2 \times Mc_{rc-1} \wedge Td_{wd} = t \wedge (wd := wd+1). t := t+1. \\ &\quad Md_{wd} = 2 \times Mc_{rc} \wedge Td_{wd} = t \\ &= Md_{wd} = 2 \times Mc_{rc} \wedge Td_{wd} = t \wedge Md_{wd+1} = 2 \times Mc_{rc+1} \wedge Td_{wd+1} = t+1 \end{aligned}$$


$$dbl_\infty = \forall n: nat. Md_{wd+n} = 2 \times Mc_{rc+n} \wedge Td_{wd+n} = t+n$$

Monitor



$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{monitor} = & (\sqrt{x0in} \vee \mathbb{T}x0in \text{ } rx0in = m) \wedge (x0in?. \ x := x0in. \ x0ack!) \\
 & \vee (\sqrt{x1in} \vee \mathbb{T}x1in \text{ } rx1in = m) \wedge (x1in?. \ x := x1in. \ x1ack!) \\
 & \vee (\sqrt{x0req} \vee \mathbb{T}x0req \text{ } rx0req = m) \wedge (x0req?. \ x0out! \ x) \\
 & \vee (\sqrt{x1req} \vee \mathbb{T}x1req \text{ } rx1req = m) \wedge (x1req?. \ x1out! \ x). \\
 & \text{monitor}
 \end{aligned}$$

Monitor



$monitor \Leftarrow$ **if** $\sqrt{x0in}$ **then** ($x0in?. \ x := x0in. \ x0ack!$) **else** $ok.$
if $\sqrt{x1in}$ **then** ($x1in?. \ x := x1in. \ x1ack!$) **else** $ok.$
if $\sqrt{x0req}$ **then** ($x0req?. \ x0out! \ x$) **else** $ok.$
if $\sqrt{x1req}$ **then** ($x1req?. \ x1out! \ x$) **else** $ok.$
 $t := t+1. \ monitor$

Communicating Processes

$$c! 2 \parallel (c?. x := c)$$

$$= \mathbb{M}_w = 2 \wedge (w := w+1) \parallel (r := r+1. x := \mathbb{M}_{r-1})$$

$$= \mathbb{M}_w = 2 \wedge w' = w+1 \wedge r' = r+1 \wedge x' = \mathbb{M}_r$$

$$c! 1. (c! 2 \parallel (c?. x := c)). c?$$

channel declaration

$$\mathbf{chan} \ c: T. P$$

$$= \exists \mathbb{M}c: \infty^* T. \exists Tc: \infty^* xnat. \mathbf{var} \ rc, wc: xnat := 0. P$$

ignoring time

chan $c: int \cdot c! 2 \parallel (c?. x:= c)$

= $\exists M: \infty * int \cdot \exists T: \infty * xnat \cdot \mathbf{var} \ r, w: xnat := 0 \cdot$

$x' = M_r \wedge M_w = 2 \wedge r' = r+1 \wedge w' = w+1 \wedge$ (other variables unchanged)

= $\exists M: \infty * int \cdot \exists T: \infty * xnat \cdot \mathbf{var} \ r, w: xnat \cdot$

$x' = M_0 \wedge M_0 = 2 \wedge r'=1 \wedge w'=1 \wedge$ (other variables unchanged)

= $x'=2 \wedge$ (other variables unchanged)

= $x:= 2$

including time

chan $c: int \cdot c! 2 \parallel (t:= \max t (T_r + 1). c?. x:= c)$

= $x'=2 \wedge t' = t+1 \wedge$ (other variables unchanged)

Deadlock

chan $c: \text{int} \cdot t := \max t (T_r + 1) \cdot c?. \ c! \ 5$

$= \exists M: \infty * \text{int} \cdot \exists T: \infty * \text{xnat} \cdot \mathbf{var} \ r, w: \text{xnat} := 0 \cdot$

$t := \max t (T_r + 1) \cdot r := r + 1 \cdot M_w = 5 \ \wedge \ T_w = t \ \wedge \ (w := w + 1)$

$= \exists M: \infty * \text{int} \cdot \exists T: \infty * \text{xnat} \cdot \exists r, r', w, w': \text{xnat} \cdot$

$r := 0 \cdot w := 0 \cdot t := \max t (T_r + 1) \cdot r := r + 1 \cdot$

$M_w = 5 \ \wedge \ T_w = t \ \wedge \ r' = r \ \wedge \ w' = w + 1 \ \wedge \ t' = t$

$= \exists M: \infty * \text{int} \cdot \exists T: \infty * \text{xnat} \cdot \exists r, r', w, w': \text{xnat} \cdot$

$M_0 = 5 \ \wedge \ T_0 = \max t (T_0 + 1) \ \wedge \ r' = 1 \ \wedge \ w' = 1 \ \wedge \ t' = \max t (T_0 + 1)$

$= t' = \infty$

Deadlock

chan $c, d: \text{int} \cdot (c?. d! 6) \parallel (d?. c! 7)$

chan $c, d: \text{int} \cdot (t := \max t (\mathcal{T}c_{rc} + 1). c?. d! 6) \parallel (t := \max t (\mathcal{T}d_{rd} + 1). d?. c! 7)$

$= \exists \mathcal{M}c, \mathcal{M}d: \infty^* \text{int} \cdot \exists \mathcal{T}c, \mathcal{T}d: \infty^* \text{xnat} \cdot \exists rc, rc', wc, wc', rd, rd', wd, wd': \text{xnat} \cdot$

$\mathcal{M}d_0 = 6 \wedge \mathcal{M}c_0 = 7 \wedge rc' = wc' = rd' = wd' = 1$

$\wedge \mathcal{T}c_0 = \max t (\mathcal{T}d_0 + 1) \wedge \mathcal{T}d_0 = \max t (\mathcal{T}c_0 + 1)$

$\wedge t' = \max (\max t (\mathcal{T}d_0 + 1)) (\max t (\mathcal{T}c_0 + 1))$

$= t' = \infty$

Power Series Multiplication

Input on channel a : $a_0 a_1 a_2 \dots$

$$A = a_0 + a_1 \times x + a_2 \times x^2 + \dots$$

Input on channel b : $b_0 b_1 b_2 \dots$

$$B = b_0 + b_1 \times x + b_2 \times x^2 + \dots$$

Output on channel c : $c_0 c_1 c_2 \dots$

$$C = c_0 + c_1 \times x + c_2 \times x^2 + \dots$$

$$A_1 = a_1 + a_2 \times x + a_3 \times x^2 + \dots$$

$$B_1 = b_1 + b_2 \times x + b_3 \times x^2 + \dots$$

$$A_2 = a_2 + a_3 \times x + a_4 \times x^2 + \dots$$

$$B_2 = b_2 + b_3 \times x + b_4 \times x^2 + \dots$$

$$C = A \times B = a_0 \times b_0 + (a_0 \times b_1 + a_1 \times b_0)x + (a_0 \times b_2 + A_1 \times B_1 + A_2 \times b_0) \times x^2$$

$$\langle !c: \text{rat} \rightarrow C = A \times B \rangle c \iff (a? \parallel b?). c! a \times b.$$

var $a_0: \text{rat} := a \cdot$ **var** $b_0: \text{rat} := b \cdot$ **chan** $d: \text{rat}$

$$\langle !c: \text{rat} \rightarrow C = A \times B \rangle d$$

$$\parallel ((a? \parallel b?). c! a_0 \times b + a \times b_0. C = a_0 \times B + D + A \times b_0)$$

$$C = a_0 \times B + D + A \times b_0 \iff (a? \parallel b? \parallel d?). c! a_0 \times b + d + a \times b_0. C = a_0 \times B + D + A \times b_0$$

Review

Boolean Theory	laws	proof	
Number Theory	Character Theory		
Bunches	Sets	Strings	Lists
Functions	Quantifiers		
Specification	Refinement	exact precondition	exact postcondition
Program Development	Time Calculation	real time	recursive time
Space Calculation	maximum space	average space	
Scope	variable declaration	frame	
Data Structures	array element assignment		
Control Structures	while loop	loop with exit	for loop

Review

Time Dependence

wait

Assertions

checking

backtracking

Subprograms

function

procedure

Probabilistic Programming

random number generator

Functional Programming

refinement

timing

Recursive Data Definition

construction

induction

Recursive Program Definition

construction

induction

Theory Design and Implementation

data theory

program theory

Data Transformation

Independent Composition

sequential to parallel transformation

Interactive Variables

Communication Channels

Disjoint Composition

Independent composition $P||Q$ requires that P and Q have no variables in common, although each can make use of the initial values of the other's variables by making a private copy. An alternative, let's say disjoint composition, is to allow both P and Q to use all the variables with no restrictions, and then to choose disjoint sets of variables v and w and define

$$P \mid v \mid w \mid Q = (P. v' = v) \wedge (Q. w' = w)$$

- (a) Prove that if P and Q are implementable specifications, then $P \mid v \mid w \mid Q$ is implementable.

Application Law $\langle v \rightarrow b \rangle a = (\text{substitute } a \text{ for } v \text{ in } b)$

Let the remaining variables (if any) be x .

Disjoint Composition

$$P. v'=v$$

expand dependent composition

$$= \exists v'', w'', x''. \langle v', w', x' \rightarrow P \rangle v'' w'' x'' \wedge v'=v''$$

one-point v''

$$= \exists w'', x''. \langle v', w', x' \rightarrow P \rangle v' w'' x''$$

rename w'', x'' to w', x'

$$= \exists w', x'. \langle v', w', x' \rightarrow P \rangle v' w' x'$$

apply

$$= \exists w', x'. P$$

$$Q. w'=w$$

$$= \exists v', x'. Q$$

$$P \mid v \mid w \mid Q = (P. v'=v) \wedge (Q. w'=w) = (\exists w', x'. P) \wedge (\exists v', x'. Q)$$

Disjoint Composition

	$(P \mid v \mid w \mid Q \text{ is implementable})$	definition of implementable
=	$\forall v, w, x. \exists v', w', x'. P \mid v \mid w \mid Q$	use previous result
=	$\forall v, w, x. \exists v', w', x'. (\exists w', x'. P) \wedge (\exists v', x'. Q)$	identity for x'
=	$\forall v, w, x. \exists v', w'. (\exists w', x'. P) \wedge (\exists v', x'. Q)$	
=	$\forall v, w, x. \exists v'. \exists w'. (\exists w', x'. P) \wedge (\exists v', x'. Q)$	distribution (factoring)
=	$\forall v, w, x. \exists v'. (\exists w', x'. P) \wedge (\exists w'. \exists v', x'. Q)$	distribution (factoring)
=	$\forall v, w, x. (\exists v'. \exists w', x'. P) \wedge (\exists w'. \exists v', x'. Q)$	
=	$\forall v, w, x. (\exists v', w', x'. P) \wedge (\exists v', w', x'. Q)$	splitting law
=	$(\forall v, w, x. \exists v', w', x'. P) \wedge (\forall v, w, x. \exists v', w', x'. Q)$	definition of implementable
=	$(P \text{ is implementable}) \wedge (Q \text{ is implementable})$	

Disjoint Composition

Independent composition $P||Q$ requires that P and Q have no variables in common, although each can make use of the initial values of the other's variables by making a private copy. An alternative, let's say disjoint composition, is to allow both P and Q to use all the variables with no restrictions, and then to choose disjoint sets of variables v and w and define

$$P \mid v \mid w \mid Q = (P. v' = v) \wedge (Q. w' = w)$$

(b) Describe how $P \mid v \mid w \mid Q$ can be executed.

Make a copy of all variables. Execute P using the original set of variables and in parallel execute Q using the copies. Then copy back from the copy w to the original w . Then throw away the copies.

Disjoint Composition

Independent composition $P \parallel Q$ requires that P and Q have no variables in common, although each can make use of the initial values of the other's variables by making a private copy. An alternative, let's say disjoint composition, is to allow both P and Q to use all the variables with no restrictions, and then to choose disjoint sets of variables v and w and define

$$P \mid v \mid w \mid Q = (P. v' = v) \wedge (Q. w' = w)$$

(b) Describe how $P \mid v \mid w \mid Q$ can be executed.

$$P \mid v \mid w \mid Q \Leftarrow \mathbf{var} \, cv := v. \mathbf{var} \, cw := w. \mathbf{var} \, cx := x.$$

$$(P \parallel \langle v, w, x, v', w', x' \rightarrow Q \rangle \, cv \, cw \, cx \, cv' \, cw' \, cx'). \, w := cw$$