

20. Assessing and Mitigating the Risks to a Hypothetical Computer System

The LAN server enforces a similar type of restriction for dial-in access via the public-switched network. The access controls provided by the server's operating system have been configured so that during dial-in sessions, only the e-mail utility can be executed. (HGA policy, enforced by periodic checks, prohibits installation of modems on PCs, so that access must be through the LAN server.) In addition, the server's access controls have been configured so that its WAN interface device is accessible only to programs that possess a special access-control privilege. Only the System Administrator can assign this privilege to server programs, and only a handful of special-purpose applications, like the time and attendance application, have been assigned this privilege.

20.4.6 Protection Against Risks from Non-HGA Computer Systems

HGA relies on systems and components that it cannot control directly because they are owned by other organizations. HGA has developed a policy to avoid undue risk in such situations. The policy states that system components controlled and operated by organizations other than HGA may not be used to process, store, or transmit HGA information without obtaining explicit permission from the application owner and the COG Manager. Permission to use such system components may not be granted without written commitment from the controlling organization that HGA's information will be safeguarded commensurate with its value, as designated by HGA. This policy is somewhat mitigated by the fact that HGA has developed an issue-specific policy on the use of the Internet, which allows for its use for e-mail with outside organizations and access to other resources (but not for transmission of HGA's proprietary data).

20.5 Vulnerabilities Reported by the Risk Assessment Team

The risk assessment team found that many of the risks to which HGA is exposed stem from (1) the failure of individuals to comply with established policies and procedures or (2) the use of automated mechanisms whose assurance is questionable because of the ways they have been developed, tested, implemented, used, or maintained. The team also identified specific vulnerabilities in HGA's policies and procedures for protecting against payroll fraud and errors, interruption of operations, disclosure and brokering of confidential information, and unauthorized access to data by outsiders.

20.5.1 Vulnerabilities Related to Payroll Fraud

Falsified Time Sheets

The primary safeguards against falsified time sheets are review and approval by supervisory personnel, who are not permitted to approve their own time and attendance data. The risk assessment has concluded that, while imperfect, these safeguards are adequate. The related requirement that a clerk and a supervisor must cooperate closely in creating time and attendance

V. Example

data and submitting the data to the mainframe also safeguards against other kinds of illicit manipulation of time and attendance data by clerks or supervisors acting independently.

Unauthorized Access

When a PC user enters a password to the server during I&A, the password is sent to the server by broadcasting it over the LAN "in the clear." This allows the password to be intercepted easily by any other PC connected to the LAN. In fact, so-called "password sniffer" programs that capture passwords in this way are widely available. Similarly, a malicious program planted on a PC could also intercept passwords before transmitting them to the server. An unauthorized individual who obtained the captured passwords could then run the time and attendance application in place of a clerk or supervisor. Users might also store passwords in a log-on script file.

Bogus Time and Attendance Applications

The server's access controls are probably adequate for protection against bogus time and attendance applications that run on the server. However, the server's operating system and access controls have only been in widespread use for a few years and contain a number of security-related bugs. And the server's access controls are ineffective if not properly configured, and the administration of the server's security features in the past has been notably lax.

Unauthorized Modification of Time and Attendance Data

Protection against unauthorized modification of time and attendance data requires a variety of safeguards because each system component on which the data are stored or transmitted is a potential source of vulnerabilities.

First, the time and attendance data are entered on the server by a clerk. On occasion, the clerk may begin data entry late in the afternoon, and complete it the following morning, storing it in a temporary file between the two sessions. One way to avoid unauthorized modification is to store the data on a diskette and lock it up overnight. After being entered, the data will be stored in another temporary file until reviewed and approved by a supervisor. These files, now stored on the system, must be protected against tampering. As before, the server's access controls, if reliable and properly configured, can provide such protection (as can digital signatures, as discussed later) in conjunction with proper auditing.

Second, when the Supervisor approves a batch of time and attendance data, the time and attendance application sends the data over the WAN to the mainframe. The WAN is a collection of communications equipment and special-purpose computers called "switches" that act as relays, routing information through the network from source to destination. Each switch is a potential site at which the time and attendance data may be fraudulently modified. For example, an HGA PC user might be able to intercept time and attendance data and modify the data enroute to the

20. Assessing and Mitigating the Risks to a Hypothetical Computer System

payroll application on the mainframe. Opportunities include tampering with incomplete time and attendance input files while stored on the server, interception and tampering during WAN transit, or tampering on arrival to the mainframe prior to processing by the payroll application.

Third, on arrival at the mainframe, the time and attendance data are held in a temporary file on the mainframe until the payroll application is run. Consequently, the mainframe's I&A and access controls must provide a critical element of protection against unauthorized modification of the data.

According to the risk assessment, the server's access controls, with prior caveats, probably provide acceptable protection against unauthorized modification of data stored on the server. The assessment concluded that a WAN-based attack involving collusion between an employee of HGA and an employee of the WAN service provider, although unlikely, should not be dismissed entirely, especially since HGA has only cursory information about the service provider's personnel security practices and no contractual authority over how it operates the WAN.

The greatest source of vulnerabilities, however, is the mainframe. Although its operating system's access controls are mature and powerful, it uses password-based I&A. This is of particular concern, because it serves a large number of federal agencies via WAN connections. A number of these agencies are known to have poor security programs. As a result, one such agency's systems could be penetrated (e.g., from the Internet) and then used in attacks on the mainframe via the WAN. In fact, time and attendance data awaiting processing on the mainframe would probably not be as attractive a target to an attacker as other kinds of data or, indeed, disabling the system, rendering it unavailable. For example, an attacker might be able to modify the employee data base so that it disbursed paychecks or pensions checks to fictitious employees. Disclosure-sensitive law enforcement databases might also be attractive targets.

The access control on the mainframe is strong and provides good protection against intruders breaking into a second application after they have broken into a first. However, previous audits have shown that the difficulties of system administration may present some opportunities for intruders to defeat access controls.

20.5.2 Vulnerabilities Related to Payroll Errors

HGA's management has established procedures for ensuring the timely submission and interagency coordination of paperwork associated with personnel status changes. However, an unacceptably large number of troublesome payroll errors during the past several years has been traced to the late submission of personnel paperwork. The risk assessment documented the adequacy of HGA's safeguards, but criticized the managers for not providing sufficient incentives for compliance.

V. Example

20.5.3 Vulnerabilities Related to Continuity of Operations

COG Contingency Planning

The risk assessment commended HGA for many aspects of COG's contingency plan, but pointed out that many COG personnel were completely unaware of the responsibilities the plan assigned to them. The assessment also noted that although HGA's policies require annual testing of contingency plans, the capability to resume HGA's computer-processing activities at another cooperating agency has never been verified and may turn out to be illusory.

Division Contingency Planning

The risk assessment reviewed a number of the application-oriented contingency plans developed by HGA's divisions (including plans related to time and attendance). Most of the plans were cursory and attempted to delegate nearly all contingency planning responsibility to COG. The assessment criticized several of these plans for failing to address potential disruptions caused by lack of access to (1) computer resources not managed by COG and (2) nonsystem resources, such as buildings, phones, and other facilities. In particular, the contingency plan encompassing the time and attendance application was criticized for not addressing disruptions caused by WAN and mainframe outages.

Virus Prevention

The risk assessment found HGA's virus-prevention policy and procedures to be sound, but noted that there was little evidence that they were being followed. In particular, no COG personnel interviewed had ever run a virus scanner on a PC on a routine basis, though several had run them during publicized virus scares. The assessment cited this as a significant risk item.

Accidental Corruption and Loss of Data

The risk assessment concluded that HGA's safeguards against accidental corruption and loss of time and attendance data were adequate, but that safeguards for some other kinds of data were not. The assessment included an informal audit of a dozen randomly chosen PCs and PC users in the agency. It concluded that many PC users store significant data on their PC's hard disks, but do not back them up. Based on anecdotes, the assessment's authors stated that there appear to have been many past incidents of loss of information stored on PC hard disks and predicted that such losses would continue.

20.5.4 Vulnerabilities Related to Information Disclosure/Brokerage

HGA takes a conservative approach toward protecting information about its employees. Since information brokerage is more likely to be a threat to large collections of data, HGA risk

20. Assessing and Mitigating the Risks to a Hypothetical Computer System

assessment focused primarily, but not exclusively, on protecting the mainframe.

The risk assessment concluded that significant, avoidable information brokering vulnerabilities were present—particularly due to HGA's lack of compliance with its own policies and procedures. Time and attendance documents were typically not stored securely after hours, and few PCs containing time and attendance information were routinely locked. Worse yet, few were routinely powered down, and many were left logged into the LAN server overnight. These practices make it easy for an HGA employee wandering the halls after hours to browse or copy time and attendance information on another employee's desk, PC hard disk, or LAN server directories.

The risk assessment pointed out that information sent to or retrieved from the server is subject to eavesdropping by other PCs on the LAN. The LAN hardware transmits information by broadcasting it to all connection points on the LAN cable. Moreover, information sent to or retrieved from the server is transmitted in the clear—that is, without encryption. Given the widespread availability of LAN "sniffer" programs, LAN eavesdropping is trivial for a prospective information broker and, hence, is likely to occur.

Last, the assessment noted that HGA's employee master database is stored on the mainframe, where it might be a target for information brokering by employees of the agency that owns the mainframe. It might also be a target for information brokering, fraudulent modification, or other illicit acts by any outsider who penetrates the mainframe via another host on the WAN.

20.5.5 Network-Related Vulnerabilities

The risk assessment concurred with the general approach taken by HGA, but identified several vulnerabilities. It reiterated previous concerns about the lack of assurance associated with the server's access controls and pointed out that these play a critical role in HGA's approach. The assessment noted that the e-mail utility allows a user to include a copy of *any* otherwise accessible file in an outgoing mail message. If an attacker dialed in to the server and succeeded in logging in as an HGA employee, the attacker could use the mail utility to export copies of all the files accessible to that employee. In fact, copies could be mailed to any host on the Internet.

The assessment also noted that the WAN service provider may rely on microwave stations or satellites as relay points, thereby exposing HGA's information to eavesdropping. Similarly, any information, including passwords and mail messages, transmitted during a dial-in session is subject to eavesdropping.

V. Example

20.6 Recommendations for Mitigating the Identified Vulnerabilities

The discussions in the following subsections were chosen to illustrate a *broad sampling*¹⁴³ of handbook topics. Risk management and security program management themes are integral throughout, with particular emphasis given to the selection of risk-driven safeguards.

20.6.1 Mitigating Payroll Fraud Vulnerabilities

To remove the vulnerabilities related to payroll fraud, the risk assessment team recommended¹⁴⁴ the use of stronger authentication mechanisms based on smart tokens to generate one-time passwords that cannot be used by an interloper for subsequent sessions. Such mechanisms would make it very difficult for outsiders (e.g., from the Internet) who penetrate systems on the WAN to use them to attack the mainframe. The authors noted, however, that the mainframe serves many different agencies, and HGA has no authority over the way the mainframe is configured and operated. Thus, the costs and procedural difficulties of implementing such controls would be substantial. The assessment team also recommended improving the server's administrative procedures and the speed with which security-related bug fixes distributed by the vendor are installed on the server.

After input from COG security specialists and application owners, HGA's managers accepted most of the risk assessment team's recommendations. They decided that since the residual risks from the falsification of time sheets were acceptably low, no changes in procedures were necessary. However, they judged the risks of payroll fraud due to the interceptability of LAN server passwords to be unacceptably high, and thus directed COG to investigate the costs and procedures associated with using one-time passwords for Time and Attendance Clerks and supervisor sessions on the server. Other users performing less sensitive tasks on the LAN would continue to use password-based authentication.

While the immaturity of the LAN server's access controls was judged a significant source of risk, COG was only able to identify one other PC LAN product that would be significantly better in this respect. Unfortunately, this product was considerably less friendly to users and application developers, and incompatible with other applications used by HGA. The negative impact of changing PC LAN products was judged too high for the potential incremental gain in security benefits. Consequently, HGA decided to accept the risks accompanying use of the current product, but directed COG to improve its monitoring of the server's access control configuration

¹⁴³ Some of the controls, such as auditing and access controls, play an important role in many areas. The limited nature of this example, however, prevents a broader discussion.

¹⁴⁴ Note that, for the sake of brevity, the process of evaluating the cost-effectiveness of various security controls is not specifically discussed.

20. Assessing and Mitigating the Risks to a Hypothetical Computer System

and its responsiveness to vendor security reports and bug fixes.

HGA concurred that risks of fraud due to unauthorized modification of time and attendance data at or in transit to the mainframe should not be accepted unless no practical solutions could be identified. After discussions with the mainframe's owning agency, HGA concluded that the owning agency was unlikely to adopt the advanced authentication techniques advocated in the risk assessment. COG, however, proposed an alternative approach that did not require a major resource commitment on the part of the mainframe owner.

The alternative approach would employ digital signatures based on public key cryptographic techniques to detect unauthorized modification of time and attendance data. The data would be *digitally signed* by the supervisor using a private key prior to transmission to the mainframe. When the payroll application program was run on the mainframe, it would use the corresponding public key to validate the correspondence between the time and attendance data and the signature. Any modification of the data during transmission over the WAN or while in temporary storage at the mainframe would result in a mismatch between the signature and the data. If the payroll application detected a mismatch, it would reject the data; HGA personnel would then be notified and asked to review, sign, and send the data again. If the data and signature matched, the payroll application would process the time and attendance data normally.

HGA's decision to use advanced authentication for time and attendance Clerks and Supervisors can be combined with digital signatures by using smart tokens. Smart tokens are programmable devices, so they can be loaded with private keys and instructions for computing digital signatures without burdening the user. When supervisors approve a batch of time and attendance data, the time and attendance application on the server would instruct the supervisor to insert their token in the token reader/writer device attached to the supervisors' PC. The application would then send a special "hash" (summary) of the time and attendance data to the token via the PC. The token would generate a digital signature using its embedded secret key, and then transfer the signature back to the server, again via the PC. The time and attendance application running on the server would append the signature to the data before sending the data to the mainframe and, ultimately, the payroll application.

Although this approach did not address the broader problems posed by the mainframe's I&A vulnerabilities, it does provide a reliable means of detecting time and attendance data tampering. In addition, it protects against bogus time and attendance submissions from systems connected to the WAN because individuals who lack a time and attendance supervisor's smart token will be unable to generate valid signatures. (Note, however, that the use of digital signatures does require increased administration, particularly in the area of key management.) In summary, digital signatures mitigate risks from a number of different kinds of threats.

HGA's management concluded that digitally signing time and attendance data was a practical, cost-effective way of mitigating risks, and directed COG to pursue its implementation. (They also

V. Example

noted that it would be useful as the agency moved to use of digital signatures in other applications.) This is an example of developing and providing a solution in an environment over which no single entity has overall authority.

20.6.2 Mitigating Payroll Error Vulnerabilities

After reviewing the risk assessment, HGA's management concluded that the agency's current safeguards against payroll errors and against accidental corruption and loss of time and attendance data were adequate. However, the managers also concurred with the risk assessment's conclusions about the necessity for establishing incentives for complying (and penalties for not complying) with these safeguards. They thus tasked the Director of Personnel to ensure greater compliance with paperwork-handling procedures and to provide quarterly compliance audit reports. They noted that the digital signature mechanism HGA plans to use for fraud protection can also provide protection against payroll errors due to accidental corruption.

20.6.3 Mitigating Vulnerabilities Related to the Continuity of Operations

The assessment recommended that COG institute a program of periodic internal training and awareness sessions for COG personnel having contingency plan responsibilities. The assessment urged that COG undertake a rehearsal during the next three months in which selected parts of the plan would be exercised. The rehearsal should include attempting to initiate some aspect of processing activities at one of the designated alternative sites. HGA's management agreed that additional contingency plan training was needed for COG personnel and committed itself to its first plan rehearsal within three months.

After a short investigation, HGA divisions owning applications that depend on the WAN concluded that WAN outages, although inconvenient, would not have a major impact on HGA. This is because the few time-sensitive applications that required WAN-based communication with the mainframe were originally designed to work with magnetic tape instead of the WAN, and could still operate in that mode; hence courier-delivered magnetic tapes could be used as an alternative input medium in case of a WAN outage. The divisions responsible for contingency planning for these applications agreed to incorporate into their contingency plans both descriptions of these procedures and other improvements.

With respect to mainframe outages, HGA determined that it could not easily make arrangements for a suitable alternative site. HGA also obtained and examined a copy of the mainframe facility's own contingency plan. After detailed study, including review by an outside consultant, HGA concluded that the plan had major deficiencies and posed significant risks because of HGA's reliance on it for payroll and other services. This was brought to the attention of the Director of HGA, who, in a formal memorandum to the head of the mainframe's owning agency, called for (1) a high-level interagency review of the plan by all agencies that rely on the mainframe, and (2) corrective action to remedy any deficiencies found.

20. Assessing and Mitigating the Risks to a Hypothetical Computer System

HGA's management agreed to improve adherence to its virus-prevention procedures. It agreed (from the point of view of the entire agency) that information stored on PC hard disks is frequently lost. It estimated, however, that the labor hours lost as a result would amount to less than a person year—which HGA management does *not* consider to be unacceptable. After reviewing options for reducing this risk, HGA concluded that it would be cheaper to accept the associated loss than to commit significant resources in an attempt to avoid it. COG volunteered, however, to set up an automated program on the LAN server that e-mails backup reminders to all PC users once each quarter. In addition, COG agreed to provide regular backup services for about 5 percent of HGA's PCs; these will be chosen by HGA's management based on the information stored on their hard disks.

20.6.4 Mitigating Threats of Information Disclosure/Brokering

HGA concurred with the risk assessment's conclusions about its exposure to information-brokering risks, and adopted most of the associated recommendations.

The assessment recommended that HGA improve its security awareness training (e.g., via mandatory refresher courses) and that it institute some form of compliance audits. The training should be sure to stress the penalties for noncompliance. It also suggested installing "screen lock" software on PCs that automatically lock a PC after a specified period of idle time in which no keystrokes have been entered; unlocking the screen requires that the user enter a password or reboot the system.

The assessment recommended that HGA modify its information-handling policies so that employees would be required to store some kinds of disclosure-sensitive information only on PC local hard disks (or floppies), but not on the server. This would eliminate or reduce risks of LAN eavesdropping. It was also recommended that an activity log be installed on the server (and regularly reviewed). Moreover, it would avoid unnecessary reliance on the server's access-control features, which are of uncertain assurance. The assessment noted, however, that this strategy conflicts with the desire to store most information on the server's disks so that it is backed up routinely by COG personnel. (This could be offset by assigning responsibility for someone other than the PC owner to make backup copies.) Since the security habits of HGA's PC users have generally been poor, the assessment also recommended use of hard-disk encryption utilities to protect disclosure-sensitive information on unattended PCs from browsing by unauthorized individuals. Also, ways to encrypt information on the server's disks would be studied.

The assessment recommended that HGA conduct a thorough review of the mainframe's safeguards in these respects, and that it regularly review the mainframe audit log, using a query package, with particular attention to records that describe user accesses to HGA's employee master database.

V. Example

20.6.5 Mitigating Network-Related Threats

The assessment recommended that HGA:

- require stronger I&A for dial-in access or, alternatively, that a restricted version of the mail utility be provided for dial-in, which would prevent a user from including files in outgoing mail messages;
- replace its current modem pool with encrypting modems, and provide each dial-in user with such a modem; and
- work with the mainframe agency to install a similar encryption capability for server-to-mainframe communications over the WAN.

As with previous risk assessment recommendations, HGA's management tasked COG to analyze the costs, benefits, and impacts of addressing the vulnerabilities identified in the risk assessment. HGA eventually adopted some of the risk assessment's recommendations, while declining others. In addition, HGA decided that its policy on handling time and attendance information needed to be clarified, strengthened, and elaborated, with the belief that implementing such a policy would help reduce risks of Internet and dial-in eavesdropping. Thus, HGA developed and issued a revised policy, stating that users are individually responsible for ensuring that they do not transmit disclosure-sensitive information outside of HGA's facilities via e-mail or other means. It also prohibited them from examining or transmitting e-mail containing such information during dial-in sessions and developed and promulgated penalties for noncompliance.

20.7 Summary

This chapter has illustrated how many of the concepts described in previous chapters might be applied in a federal agency. An integrated example concerning a Hypothetical Government Agency (HGA) has been discussed and used as the basis for examining a number of these concepts. HGA's distributed system architecture and its uses were described. The time and attendance application was considered in some detail.

For context, some national and agency-level policies were referenced. Detailed operational policies and procedures for computer systems were discussed and related to these high-level policies. HGA assets and threats were identified, and a detailed survey of selected safeguards, vulnerabilities, and risk mitigation actions were presented. The safeguards included a wide variety of procedural and automated techniques, and were used to illustrate issues of assurance, compliance, security program oversight, and inter-agency coordination.

As illustrated, effective computer security requires clear direction from upper management.

20. Assessing and Mitigating the Risks to a Hypothetical Computer System

Upper management must assign security responsibilities to organizational elements and individuals and must formulate or elaborate the security policies that become the foundation for the organization's security program. These policies must be based on an understanding of the organization's mission priorities and the assets and business operations necessary to fulfill them. They must also be based on a pragmatic assessment of the threats against these assets and operations. A critical element is assessment of threat likelihoods. These are most accurate when derived from historical data, but must also anticipate trends stimulated by emerging technologies.

A good security program relies on an integrated, cost-effective collection of physical, procedural, and automated controls. Cost-effectiveness requires targeting these controls at the threats that pose the highest risks while accepting other residual risks. The difficulty of applying controls properly and in a consistent manner over time has been the downfall of many security programs. This chapter has provided numerous examples in which major security vulnerabilities arose from a lack of assurance or compliance. Hence, periodic compliance audits, examinations of the effectiveness of controls, and reassessments of threats are essential to the success of any organization's security program.

Cross Reference and Index

Interdependencies Cross Reference

The following is a cross reference of the interdependencies sections. Note that the references only include specific controls. Some controls were referenced in groups, such as technical controls and occasionally interdependencies were noted for all controls.

<u>Control</u>	<u>Chapters Where It Is Cited</u>
Policy	Program Management Life Cycle Personnel/User Contingency Awareness and Training Logical Access Audit
Program Management	Policy Awareness and Training
Risk Management	Life Cycle Contingency Incident
Life Cycle	Program Management Assurance
Assurance	Life Cycle Support and Operations Audit Cryptography
Personnel	Training and Awareness Support and Operations Access
Training and Awareness	Personnel/User Incident Support and Operations
Contingency	Incident

Cross Reference and Index

	Support and Operations Physical and Environmental Audit
Incident	Contingency Support and Operations Audit
Physical and Environment	Contingency Support and Operations Logical Access Cryptography
Support and Operations	Contingency Incident
Identification and Authentication	Personnel/User Physical and Environmental Logical Access Audit Cryptography
Access Controls	Policy Personnel/User Physical and Environmental Identification and Authentication Audit Cryptography
Audit	Identification and Authentication Logical Access Cryptography
Cryptography	Identification and Authentication

Cross Reference and Index

General Index

A

account management (user)	110-12
access control lists	182, 189, 199-201, 203
access modes	196-7, 200
acknowledgment statements	111, 112, 144
accountability	12, 36, 39, 143, 144, 159, 179, 195, 212
accreditation	6, 66-7, 75, 80, 81-2, 89, 90-2, 94-5,
reaccreditation	75, 83, 84, 85, 96, 100
advanced authentication	181, 204, 230
advanced development	93
asset valuation	61
attack signature	219, 220
audits/auditing	18, 51, 73, 75, 81, 82, 96-9, 110, 111, 112-3, 159, 195, 211
audit reduction	219
authentication, host-based	205
authentication, host-to-host	189
authentication servers	189
authorization (to process)	66, 81, 112

B

bastion host	204
biometrics	180, 186-7

C

certification	75, 81, 85, 91, 93, 95
self-certification	94
challenge response	185, 186, 189
checksumming	99
cold site	125, 126
Computer Security Act	3, 4, 7, 52-3, 71-2, 73, 76, 143, 149,
Computer Security Program Managers' Forum	50, 52, 151
conformance - see validation	
consequence assessment	61
constrained user interface	201-2
cost-benefit	65-6, 78, 173-4
crackers - see hackers	

Cross Reference and Index

D

data categorization	202
Data Encryption Standard (DES)	205, 224, 231
database views	202
diagnostic port - see maintenance accounts	
dial-back modems	203
digital signature - see electronic signature	
Digital Signature Standard	225, 231
disposition/disposal	75, 85, 86, 160, 197, 235
dual-homed gateway	204
dynamic password generator	185

E

ease of safe use	94
electromagnetic interception	172
see also electronic monitoring	
electronic monitoring	171, 182, 184, 185, 186,
electronic/digital signature	95, 99, 218, 228-30, 233
encryption	140, 162, 182, 188, 199, 224-7, 233
end-to-end encryption	233
Escrowed Encryption Standard	224, 225-6, 231
espionage	22, 26-8
evaluations (product)	94
see also validation	
export (of cryptography)	233-4

F

Federal Information Resources Management	
Regulation (FIRMR)	7, 46, 48, 52
firewalls - see secure gateways	
FIRST	52, 139
FISSEA	151

G

gateways - see secure gateways	
--------------------------------	--

H

hackers	25-6, 97, 116, 133, 135, 136, 156, 162, 182, 183,
	186, 204
HALON	169, 170
hash, secure	228, 230
hot site	125, 126

Cross Reference and Index

I

individual accountability - see accountability
integrity statements 95
integrity verification 100, 159-60, 227-30
internal controls 98, 114
intrusion detection 100, 168, 213

J, K

keys, cryptographic for authentication 182
key escrow 225-6
 see also Escrowed Encryption Standard
key management (cryptography) 85, 114-5, 186, 199, 232
keystroke monitoring 214

L

labels 159, 202-3
least privilege 107-8, 109, 112, 114, 179
liabilities 95
likelihood analysis 62-3
link encryption 233

M

maintenance accounts 161-2
malicious code 27-8, 79, 95, 99, 133-5, 157, 166, 204, 213,
 (virus, virus scanning, Trojan horse) 215, 230
monitoring 36, 67, 75, 79, 82, 86, 96, 99-101, 171, 182, 184,
 185, 186, 205, 213, 214, 215

N, O

operational assurance 82-3, 89, 96
OMB Circular A-130 7, 48, 52, 73, 76, 116, 149

P

password crackers 99-100, 182
passwords, one-time 185-6, 189, 230
password-based access control 182, 199
penetration testing 98-9
permission bits 200-1, 203
plan, computer security 53, 71-3, 98, 127, 161
privacy 14, 28-9, 38, 78, 92, 196
policy (general) 12, 33-43, 49, 51, 78, 144, 161
policy, issue-specific 37-40, 78

Cross Reference and Index

policy, program 34-7, 51
policy, system-specific 40-3, 53, 78, 86, 198, 204, 205, 215
port protection devices 203-4
privileged accounts 206
proxy host 204
public access 116-7
public key cryptography 223-30
public key infrastructure 232

Q, R

RSA 225
reciprocal agreements 125
redundant site 125
reliable (architectures, security) 93, 94
responsibility 12-3, 15-20
 see also accountability
roles, role-based access 107, 113-4, 195
routers 204

S

safeguard analysis 61
screening (personnel) 108-9, 113, 162
secret key cryptography 223-9
secure gateways (firewalls) 204-5
sensitive (systems, information) 4, 7, 53, 71, 76
sensitivity assessment 75, 76-7
sensitivity (position) 107-9, 205
separation of duties 107, 109, 114, 195
single log-in 188-9
standards, guidelines, procedures 35, 48, 51, 78, 93, 231
system integrity 6-7, 166

T

TEMPEST - see electromagnetic interception
theft 23-4, 26, 166, 172
tokens (authentication) 115, 162, 174, 180-90
threat identification 21-29, 61
Trojan horse - see malicious code
trusted development 93
trusted system 6, 93, 94

Cross Reference and Index

U, V

uncertainty analysis	64, 67-8
virus, virus scanning - see malicious code	
validation testing	93, 234
variance detection	219
vulnerability analysis	61-2

W, X, Y, Z

warranties	95
------------	----